

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/679,138	HILL ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>		
Joshua Kading	2661		

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowable

(1) Joshua Kading.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Craig Hoersten.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 29 March 2005

**Time:** 2:59 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

9-11, 27, and 28

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Minor word changes were discussed to place the application in condition for allowance. Agreement was reached with regard to the changes as indicated in the Examiner's Amendment.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)